

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
Alexandria Division**

ROSY GIRON DE REYES; JOSE
DAGOBERTO REYES; FELIX ALEXIS
BOLANOS; RUTH RIVAS; YOVANA
JALDIN SOLIS; ESTEBAN RUBEN MOYA
YRAPURA; ROSA ELENA AMAYA; and
HERBERT DAVID SARAVIA CRUZ,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

WAPLES MOBILE HOME PARK LIMITED
PARTNERSHIP; WAPLES PROJECT
LIMITED PARTNERSHIP; and A.J.
DWOSKIN & ASSOCIATES, INC.,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 1:16cv00563-TSE-TCB

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION
TO FILE DOCUMENTS UNDER SEAL**

This matter comes before the Court under Local Civil Rule 5 and on the Motion of Plaintiffs Rosy Giron De Reyes, Jose Dagoberto Reyes, Felix Alexis Bolanos, Ruth Rivas, Yovana Jaldin Solis, Esteban Ruben Moya Yrapura, Rosa Elena Amaya, and Herbert David Saravia Cruz ("Plaintiffs") to file under seal Exhibits 2, 4–6, and 9 to Plaintiffs' Reply in Support of Plaintiffs' Cross Motion for Summary Judgment. Before this Court may seal documents, it must: "(1) provide public notice of the request to seal and allow interested parties a reasonable opportunity to object, (2) consider less drastic alternatives to sealing the documents, and (3) provide specific reasons and factual findings supporting its decision to seal the documents and for rejecting the alternatives." *Ashcraft v. Conoco, Inc.*, 218 F.3d 282, 288 (4th Cir. 2000) (internal citations omitted).

In compliance with Local Rule 5 and *Ashcraft*, the Court has provided public notice of this motion by posting an appropriate Notice on the public docket board:

“This document serves as notice to the public that Plaintiffs, by counsel, have moved the Court to seal from public disclosure Exhibits 2, 4–6, and 9 to Plaintiffs’ Reply in Support of Plaintiffs’ Cross Motion for Summary Judgment. A brief description of the content of these documents is as follows:

- Ex. 2: Excerpts from the deposition transcript of George C. Caruso.
- Ex. 4: Excerpts from the deposition transcript of Josephine Giambanco.
- Ex. 5: Excerpts from the deposition transcript of Dr. Daniel H. Weinberg.
- Ex. 6: Excerpts from the deposition transcript of Professor William A.V. Clark.
- Ex. 9: Declaration of Archith Ramkumar, Esq., discussing confidential exhibits.”

Based upon Plaintiffs’ filings, the Court **FINDS** that less drastic alternatives to sealing the Proposed Sealed Documents are not feasible. Accordingly, the Court **ORDERS** that the Proposed Sealed Document shall be maintained under seal by the Clerk, until otherwise directed. The Clerk is **REQUESTED** to send a copy of this Order to all counsel of record.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: _____

The Honorable T.S. Ellis, III
United States District Court for the
Eastern District of Virginia